

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/582,141	Applicant(s) CACCIA ET AL.	
	Examiner YATE' K. CUTLIFF	Art Unit 1621	

All Participants:

(1) YATE' K. CUTLIFF.

(2) Robert A. Madsen.

Date of Interview: 9 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 102(b) and 103(a)

Claims discussed:
11 and 13 - 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/YATE' K. CUTLIFF/
Assistant Examiner, Art Unit 1621

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:45 a.m.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Cutliff, after discussion with SPE Sullivan and SPE Andres, telephoned Mr Madsen and discussed the issues that remained concerning claims 15 - 17. Specifically, the 112, first issue that arose as a result of the amendment to claim 15. Examiner advised that cancellation of claims 15 - 17 would place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Madsen contacted the Applicant, and later instructed the Examiner to cancel claims 15 - 17, without prejudice..